

UNITED STATES DEARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/289,043 04/09/99 HICKEY F 97.845 **EXAMINER** IM22/0217 MCDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF GORR.R PAPER NUMBER 32ND FLOOR **ART UNIT** 300 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE CHICAGO IL 60606 1711 **DATE MAILED:**

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

02/17/00

	Application No). Api	olicant(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Office Action Summary	09/289		H	ckeu
	Examiner			Group Art Unit
	\mathcal{K}	TORR		1711
—The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover	sheet bene	ath the co	rrespondence address-
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SOFTHIS COMMUNICATION.	SET TO EXPIRE	N	IONTH(S)	FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by d Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b 	rs, a reply within the statu lefault, expire SIX (6) MOI	tory minimum o	f thirty (30) (days will be considered timely.
Status	,			
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
☐ This action is FINAL.				•
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exaccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle	xcept for formal matte), 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 (rs, prosecut O.G. 213.	ion as to	the merits is closed in
Disposition of Claims			•	
(Claim(s)	Slaim(s)		is/are p	ending in the application
Of the above claim(s)				
□ Claim(s)			ic/ore e	llowed
(Le Claim(s)			is/are rejected	
□ Claim(s)				bjected to.
□ Claim(s)	•			•
Application Papers			requirer	ject to restriction or election nent.
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's	awing Review PTO.9	4 8		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on			annmyed	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are o	bjected to by the Exa	miner.	appiovou	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examina	er.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priori ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copie	ty under 35 U.S.C. § s of the priority docun	11 9(a)-(d). nents have be	en .	
☐ received.				
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu	umber) International Bureau	(PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu☐ received in this national stage application from the	International Bureau	(PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).	
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu	International Bureau	(PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Null received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received: **Attachment(s)	International Bureau	(PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).	
□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Null received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received:	International Bureau	(PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)). w Summa	ary, PTO-413
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Null received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received:	er No(s).	□ Intervie	7.2(a)). w Summa	

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97) Application/Control Number: 09/289,043

Art Unit: 1711

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 2. Claims 1-16 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-14 of U.S. Patent No. 5,922,779. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the blend of the present invention can contain a broader range of hydrocarbon blowing agent. This broader range still overlaps with the claims of the patent.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Magnus in view of van der Wouden.
- 5. Magnus discloses the aromatic polyester polyol of the claims (col. 6) comprising 15-40 mole % of phthalic acid material, 40-75 mole % of aliphatic diol and 0.4 to 28 mole % of a

Application/Control Number: 09/289,043

Page 3

Art Unit: 1711

hydrophobic compound. He discloses using the polyester polyol for making polyurethane foams, and he teaches that the polyester polyol can be blended with other polyols (col. 13, line 14). He differs from the claims by not disclosing hydrocarbon blowing agents.

- Van der Wouden teaches replacing repacing chlorofluorocarbon (CFC) blowing agents 6. with hydrocarbon blowing agents for the preservation of the ozone layer (page 1, col. 1). He discloses that oleochemical based polyesters with low polarity can be blended with hydrocarbon blowing agents (page 2, col. 1).
- It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was 7. made to replace the CFC blowing agents of Magnus with hydrocarbons to preserve the ozone layer. It's obvious that the aromatic polyols of Magnus would form blends with hydrocarbon blowing agents because van der Wouden teaches that less polar polyester polyols form stable mixtures with hydrocarbons. While the applicants' claims exclude the dimer acid hydrophobic component of van der Wouden's polyester polyols, it's obvious that the hydrophobic components of Magnus (col. 8) would lower the polarity of his polyesters as effectively as the dimer acid of van der Wouden. The Figure 3 of van der Wouden shows that at least 4% by weight of hydrocarbons can be blended with polyesters higher in polarity.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 8. should be directed to Rachel Gorr whose telephone number is (703) 308-3608. The examiner can

normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 7:30 to 6:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/289,043

Page 4

Art Unit: 1711

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck, can be reached on (703) 308-2462. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-5408.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

R.G.

Feb. 16, 2000

Rachel Larr
RACHEL GORR
PRIMARY EXAMINER